Azithromycin Exhibits Bactericidal Effects on \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} through Interaction with the Outer Membrane
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The aim of the present study was to elucidate the effect of the macrolide antibiotic azithromycin on \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}. We studied the susceptibility to azithromycin in \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO1 using a killing assay. PAO1 cells at the exponential growth phase were resistant to azithromycin. In contrast, PAO1 cells at the stationary growth phase were sensitive to azithromycin. The divalent cations Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} inhibited this activity, suggesting that the action of azithromycin is mediated by interaction with the outer membranes of the cells, since the divalent cations exist between adjacent lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) and stabilize the outer membrane. The divalent cation chelator EDTA behaved in a manner resembling that of azithromycin; EDTA killed more PAO1 in the stationary growth phase than in the exponential growth phase. A 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine assay showed that azithromycin interacted with the outer membrane of \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO1 and increased its permeability while Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} antagonized this action. Our results indicate that azithromycin directly interacts with the outer membrane of \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO1 by displacement of divalent cations from their binding sites on LPS. This action explains, at least in part, the effectiveness of sub-MICs of macrolide antibiotics in pseudomonal chronic airway infection.

Macrolide antibiotics have been used in the treatment of infections caused by clinically important gram-positive cocci, such as \textit{Streptococcus} spp. and \textit{Staphylococcus} spp., and atypical pathogens, such as \textit{Mycoplasma} spp., \textit{Chlamydia} spp., and \textit{Legionella} spp. The mechanism of antimicrobial activity of macrolides is generally considered to be inhibition of microbial protein synthesis by acting on the 50S subunit of the 70S ribosome (17). In the 1990s, the “new” macrolides, clarithromycin and azithromycin, were released. The new macrolides have an expanded spectrum of activity, including fastidious gram-negative bacilli, such as \textit{Haemophilus influenzae} and \textit{Neisseria} spp. However, macrolides have poor activity against \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} from the aspect of MIC in vitro.

\textit{P. aeruginosa} is one of the most important pathogens in patients with chronic airway infection, such as cystic fibrosis (CF) (12, 19) and diffuse panbronchiolitis (DPB) (6). When \textit{P. aeruginosa} colonizes the airways of these patients, it is difficult to eradicate with antibiotics because of its ability to develop antibiotic resistance. In 1984, Kudoh et al. (8) reported the efficacy of macrolides in chronic airway infections, including DPB. Since then, most patients with DPB in Japan have been treated with macrolide antibiotics, and this therapy has been found to markedly improve the mortality of patients with DPB (7). In addition, recent studies reported that azithromycin also shows clinical efficacy in CF patients (14, 16).

Because the DPB patients are usually treated with sub-MIC concentrations of macrolide antibiotics, it has been considered that macrolides may affect the host defense mechanism and/or the expression of virulence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} but that it has no bactericidal activity against \textit{P. aeruginosa}.

In the present study, we show that azithromycin has a bactericidal effect against \textit{P. aeruginosa} in certain bacterial growth phases. Our results also show that the direct effect of azithromycin on the outer membrane of \textit{P. aeruginosa} might contribute to its bactericidal activity against this organism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. \textit{P. aeruginosa} strain PAO1 was used in all experiments. It was grown in peptone trypticase soy broth. For the experiments described below, fresh medium was inoculated with an overnight culture to a final dilution of 1:30 and grown with vigorous shaking at 37°C.

Antibiotics and chemicals. Azithromycin was provided by Pfizer Inc. (New York, N.Y.). Clarithromycin was provided by Abbott Japan Co. (Tokyo, Japan). Erythromycin was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo.). Gentamicin, EDTA, 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine (NPN), and KCN were purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan).

Killing assay. The bactericidal effects of compounds on \textit{P. aeruginosa} were examined in two bacterial growth phases: the exponential phase and the stationary phase. After growth to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 (exponential phase) or 2.0 (stationary phase), azithromycin or EDTA was added to each phase of cells to the appropriate concentration with or without divalent cations. After coincubation for 8 h with vigorous shaking at 37°C, numbers of viable bacterial cells were determined by serial 10-fold dilution of samples plated on peptone trypticase soy agar (PTSA) plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and the results are expressed as means ± standard deviations.

Permeabilization of outer membrane to NPN. The NPN assay was performed as described previously (5, 10). The cells were cultured to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.4 to 0.6. The cells were centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 10 min and resuspended in 5 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.2) with 1 mM KCN at an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5. The cells were placed in a cuvette, and NPN was added to a final concentration of 10 μM. Compounds tested for the ability to permeabilize cells to NPN were added at the appropriate concentrations, and the
increase in the NPN fluorescence intensity was monitored with a spectrofluorophotometer (RF-5300PC, Shimazu Co., Tokyo, Japan). The excitation and emission wavelengths were set at 350 and 420 nm, with slit widths of 5 and 3 nm, respectively.

RESULTS

Effects of azithromycin on P. aeruginosa in different growth phases. To determine the bactericidal activities of azithromycin against different growth phases of P. aeruginosa, we performed killing assays in the exponential phase and in stationary phase. The minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs, defined as the lowest concentration of antibiotics that caused >99.9% reduction of the bacterial count) of azithromycin against exponential- and stationary-phase PAO1 were 128 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (Fig. 1).

Effects of divalent cations on P. aeruginosa susceptibility to azithromycin. We evaluated the effects of magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)) and calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) ions on susceptibility to azithromycin in PAO1. Addition of 20 mg of Mg\(^{2+}\)/liter or 20 mg of Ca\(^{2+}\)/liter antagonized the bactericidal activity of azithromycin against PAO1 (Fig. 2).

Effect of EDTA on P. aeruginosa in stationary phase. We examined the effect of EDTA on PAO1 in each growth phase. The MBCs of EDTA against exponential- and stationary-phase PAO1 were >4.0 and 0.2 mM, respectively (Fig. 3).

Effect of azithromycin on permeability of P. aeruginosa outer membrane. To determine whether azithromycin affected the outer membrane of P. aeruginosa, we performed the NPN assay. No fluorescence accumulation was evident when azithromycin was added to the buffer containing NPN without cells (data not shown). The addition of azithromycin to P. aeruginosa cells in the presence of NPN caused a time-dependent increase in fluorescence (Fig. 4A). The addition of 8 μg of azithromycin/ml to P. aeruginosa cells also caused an increase in fluorescence, but the activity was weaker than that of 128 μg of azithromycin/ml. This phenomenon was also observed when other macrolides, erythromycin and clarithromycin, were added separately. However, the activities of these agents were weaker than that of the same dose of azithromycin (Fig. 4A).

EDTA (Fig. 4B) and gentamicin (Fig. 4C) also caused increases in fluorescence intensity. Ceftazidime, which showed bactericidal activity against exponential-phase PAO1 but not stationary-phase PAO1 in the killing assay (data not shown), had no effect on the fluorescence intensity (Fig. 4D).

Inhibition of azithromycin enhancement of NPN fluorescence by divalent cations. To confirm disrupted action on the outer membrane via replacement of divalent cations with azithromycin, we investigated whether the divalent cations inhibited the increase in NPN fluorescence. We found that addition of the divalent cations Mg\(^{2+}\) (1 mM) and Ca\(^{2+}\) (1 mM) inhibited the increase in NPN fluorescence induced by azithromycin.

DISCUSSION

P. aeruginosa is a major pathogen causing chronic airway infection, including DPB and CF. Because P. aeruginosa lives in microcolonies or biofilms in the airways of these patients (15), most of the organisms may be in stationary phase. The sensi-
Activities of bacteria to antibiotics are usually tested by the MIC method, which is a measure of the drug concentration that inhibits bacterial growth during the exponential phase. As shown in Fig. 1, when *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 cells in the exponential phase were coincubated with azithromycin, the MBC of azithromycin against PAO1 was 128 μg/ml, indicating that azithromycin had no bactericidal activity against exponentially growing *P. aeruginosa*. However, when the bacteria had grown to stationary phase, the MBC decreased from 128 to 1 μg/ml, suggesting that *P. aeruginosa* became sensitive to azithromycin (Fig. 1). These data seem to provide the reason for the effectiveness of sub-MICs of macrolide antibiotics in DPB patients.

The reason why *P. aeruginosa* in the stationary phase was more sensitive to azithromycin than in the exponential phase was not found in this study. Recently, it has been reported that there are many differences between the gene expression of *P. aeruginosa* in the stationary phase and that in the exponential phase (18). Further studies are needed to determine whether such differences in gene expression contribute to this phenomenon.

Polycationic antibiotics, such as aminoglycosides, are reported to disrupt the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* by acting to competitively displace divalent cations that cross-bridge adjacent lipopolysaccharide (LPS) molecules (3, 4). Antagonism of aminoglycosides by divalent cations is well documented for *P. aeruginosa* (1, 11, 20). Azithromycin is also a dicatonic macrolide antibiotic. Farmer et al. (2) showed that azithromycin was capable of permeabilizing the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* and that this action was antagonized by Mg²⁺.

We also suspected that the bactericidal effect of azithromycin against *P. aeruginosa* was due to an aminoglycoside antibiotic-like action. Accordingly, we evaluated the effects of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ on susceptibility to azithromycin in PAO1. We found that susceptibility to azithromycin in PAO1 was decreased by Mg²⁺ or Ca²⁺ (Fig. 2). These findings suggest that the mechanism of the bactericidal effect involves the displacement of divalent cations that cross-bridge adjacent LPS molecules. In addition, EDTA, which disrupts divalent-cation cross-bridges by chelation (4, 9), caused a similar bactericidal effect against *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 3). These data supported the notion that displacement of divalent cations in the outer membrane caused bacterial cell death.

The outer monolayer of the outer membranes of gram-negative bacteria such as *P. aeruginosa* is constituted of LPS, and the outer membranes exclude hydrophobic compounds, including hydrophobic antibiotics (13) and the fluorescent probe NPN (10). Polycationic antibiotics can interact with the outer membrane at divalent-cation-binding sites on LPS, resulting in permeabilization of the outer membrane to hydrophobic compounds, such as NPN (5, 10). Thus, these probe molecules were utilized to observe the effect of azithromycin on outer membrane permeability. To determine whether azithromycin interacts with the outer membrane, we performed the NPN assay, which is commonly utilized to evaluate the interaction of compounds with the outer membrane. We demonstrated the enhancement of NPN uptake by azithromycin in intact bacterial cells, suggesting that azithromycin permeabil-
ized the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ inhibited azithromycin-enhanced uptake of NPN (Fig. 5). This could be explained by competition between azithromycin and the divalent cations for a divalent-cation-binding site on the outer membrane.

In conclusion, our data indicate that azithromycin has bactericidal activity against *P. aeruginosa*. Azithromycin directly disrupted the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa*, probably by displacement of divalent cations from their binding sites on LPS on the outer membrane. This direct action of azithromycin may contribute to its bactericidal activity against *P. aeruginosa*.
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